

Fee Only

Docket No.: 8733.428.00-US
(PATENT)**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Patent Application of:
Young-In PARK****Customer No.: 30827****Application No.: 09/855,694****Group Art Unit: 2823****Filed: May 16, 2001****Examiner: Julio J. Maldonado****For: METHOD OF MANUFACTURING A THIN
FILM TRANSISTOR AND
MANUFACTURING EQUIPMENT**

Confirmation No.: 9209**REPLY BRIEF****Attention: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences
Honorable Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450****Dear Sir:**

In response to the Examiner's Answer mailed December 30, 2003, Appellant submits the following Reply Brief in the above-identified application (transmitted in triplicate):

ARGUMENTS

Further to the arguments made in the Appeal Brief filed on October 3, 2003 and in reply to the Examiner's Answer dated December 30, 2003, Appellant asserts a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been established and all pending claims are in condition for allowance.

"To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable